## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re application of: Paul R. LESCH, JR. et al.

Confirmation No.: 6851

Application No.: 09/692,123

Group Art Unit: 3763

Filing Date: October 20, 2000

Examiner: K. Thompson

For:

MEDICAMENT CARTRIDGE AND INJECTION DEVICE

Attorney Docket No.: 88066-5700

## RESPONSE

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

June 10, 2005

Sir:

JUN 1 0 2005

In response to the Response to Amendment mailed on February 9, 2005, entry of the following comments into the file of the above-identified application is respectfully requested.

The Response to Amendment requested that arguments be provided with respect to the newly presented claims that were filed on August 31, 2004. The only new claim from the Amendment was claim 30, which is the same as claim 1 appeared before the August 31 Amendment. As explained on page 10 of the Amendment, "The subject matter of claim 1 prior to this amendment now appears, however, in claim 30 in unamended form . . . . " Thus, the Response was complete as filed, since the subject matter of new claim 30 has already been addressed and argued in previous amendments. The August 31 Amendment further provided arguments as to why the preamble of this claim must be considered.

The previously filed amendment is thus believed to be fully complete and responsive, and all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance, as explained in the August 31 Amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

E. Bradley Gould

(Reg. No. 41,792)

Allan A. Fanucci

(Reg. No. 30,256)

WINSTON & STRAWN

Customer No. 28765

202-282-5771